

The Marginal Impact of Emission Reductions

Estimates, Beliefs and Behavior

Christoph Semken

Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona
Stanford Environmental and Energy Policy Center

06 June 2024
CEPR Policy Forum

*“I am **just one person**. My emission reductions will not have any tangible impact.”*

*“My emission reductions will not have any impact if we cannot avoid **disastrous climate change**.”*

*“My emission reductions will only have a tangible impact if **other people** also reduce their emissions.”*

*“France is **just one country**. Its emission reductions will not have any tangible impact.”*

*“France’s emission reductions will not have any impact if we cannot avoid **disastrous climate change**.”*

*“France’s emission reductions will only have a tangible impact if **China** also reduces its emissions.”*

This Paper

- ① What is the impact of marginal emission reductions?
- ② How accurate are peoples' beliefs?
- ③ What is the effect of correcting any misbeliefs?

Definition

Marginal impact: expected damage avoided in a physical climate change outcome by 2100 due to decreasing emissions by 1 tCO₂, given current expected overall emissions

Example actions emitting 1 tCO₂ (IPCC 2022):

- Driving 10 miles (16 km) day⁻¹ for 1 year (average Diesel car)
- Eating 5 meat servings week⁻¹ for 1 year (beef, lamb or pork)

Marginal Impact

"I am just one person. My emission reductions will not have any tangible impact."

Subjects' beliefs

Marginal impact underestimated by 2 orders of magnitude on average

Climate models

Marginal impact tangible:

- ① 4 kiloliters (1000 gallons) less glacier ice melting
- ② 6 hours longer aggregate life expectancy
- ③ 5 m² (50 ft²) less vegetation undergoing ecosystem change

Structure of Climate Change I

“My emission reductions will not have any impact if we cannot avoid disastrous climate change.”

Subjects' beliefs

Threshold public good

- Threshold known \rightarrow marginal impact discontinuous
- Marginal impact = 0 after threshold

Climate models

Continuous/monotonous public good

- Thresholds unknown \rightarrow marginal impact continuous
- Marginal impact > 0 , even after thresholds

Structure of Climate Change II

“My emission reductions will only have a tangible impact if other people also reduce their emissions.”

Subjects' beliefs

Strategic complementarity

- Marginal impact is increasing in others' emission reductions

Climate models

Strategic substitutability

- Marginal impact is constant or decreasing in others' emission reductions

Randomized Controlled Trial

Providing subjects with the climate scientific information causally increases:

- ① Perceived self-efficacy ($d=0.29$, 3 days later)
- ② Intentions to reduce emissions ($d=0.11$, 3 days later)
- ③ Donations to reduce global emission ($d=0.23$, $\uparrow 18\%$, $\uparrow \text{£}14$)

Conclusion

Impact of individual emission reductions is large, even if other people do not reduce their emissions.

- Contrary to conventional wisdom and beliefs
- Marginal impact of emission reductions is a good measure to correct misbeliefs
- Providing information is a cost-effective way to increase mitigation efforts

Next Steps

At the Stanford Environmental and Energy Policy Center, together with Prof. Hunt Allcott:

Currently looking for partners to test the effect of this information on support for green – private or public sector – policies

If interested, find me later or email me at christoph.semken@upf.edu

